Committee :	STANDARDS COMMITTEE
Date:	10 July 2017
Title	Allegations against members
Author:	Monitoring Officer
Action:	For Information

1. Background

The purpose of this report is to present information to the Committee regarding formal complaints made against members. The report is based on information received from the Ombudsman and the case references are his.

2. Complaint

2.1 Case 12494/201607109

Date Received: 01/03/17

Complaint

That a community councillor had brought his position or the authority into disrepute and had used his position improperly whilst serving on a local committee.

Decision

No investigation. The member served on the committee in a personal and private capacity and not as an elected councillor.

2.2 Case 20160341713317/201607353 & 20160341713317/201607357

Date Received: 08/03/17

Complaint

Complaints against a councillor on the basis of failure to show respect to others, bullying behaviour and bringing the office or the authority into disrepute.

Decision

Ombudsman investigating

2.3 Case 7294/201607052

Date Received: 23/02/17

<u>Complaint</u>

Complaint against a councillor on the basis of using her position improperly, failure to declare an interest and bringing the office or authority into disrepute.

Decision

Ombudmsan investigating

2.4 Case 201700548

Date Received: 28/04/17

Complaint

That a councillor had made comments onhis Facebook page that were defamatory and brought his office and authority into disrepute,

Decision

No investigation. It was unclear whether the comments were wholly public and if he had made them as an elected member. The Ombudsman however intended to write to the member to remind him that the provisions of paragraph 6(1)(a) (disrepute) applied at all times and in any capacity. An allegation of libel was a legal matter that should be resolved through the courts.

2.5 Case 13891/201700942-945

Date Received: 05/17

Complaint

Complaints against four community councillors in connection with nondeclaration of interests.

Decision

No investigation in two cases. Considering whether to investigate in the

other two.

3. Recommendation

The Committee is asked to note the information.